

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,371	08/23/2000	George E. Smith	108298529US	1295
25096	7590 05/07/2003			•
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			EXAMINER	
			MEREK, JOSEPH C	
SEATTLE, W	A 90111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	C)
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	δ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A LANGUAGA			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A M		
Office Action Symmetry	09/644,371	SMITH, GEORGE	E. UNI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Joseph C. Merek		dua		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover	sneet with the correspondence add	aress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minin d will apply and will expire S tte, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	February 2003 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-fir	nal.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	г Ех рапе Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	tion.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•	ar.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	J	3 · · · (-) (-)			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been recei	ved.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language polyable. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional applicatio	on has been received.	.,		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/644,371

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group a, Figs. 3A:

Group b, Fig. 36

Group c, Fig. 3C;

Group d, Fig. 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. Claims 1, 3, and 27 are improper generic claims since they each possibility allowed by each claim does not encompass each of the embodiments. The reading of the claims where the background is only on the interface region do not allow for what is shown in Figs. 3A and 3B. Each aspect of a generic claim must read on all the species. These claims should be corrected with the election.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/644,371

Art Unit: 3727

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to David T. Dutcher on 5/1/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Joseph C. Merek Patent Examiner May 5, 2003